#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	Sec Form PCT/IPEA/416			
R9103WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See FORM PC1/IPEA/410			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/FR2004/001900	16.07.2004	18.07.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nati					
H04L27/26, H04L25/02	, н04в7/005				
Applicant					
TDF					
This report is the international prelir under Article 35 and transmitted to th	ninary examination report, established by the applicant according to Article 36.	nis International Preliminary Examining Authority			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of _	6 sheets, include	ding this cover sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:				
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Rureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:			
		en amended and are the basis for this report and/or			
sheets containing rec Instructions).	tifications authorized by this Authority (see	Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative			
sheets which superse the disclosure in the Box.	de earlier sheets, but which this Authority c international application as filed, as indicat	considers contain an amendment that goes beyond ted in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental			
b (sent to the International E	Pureau only) a total of (indicate type and num	nber of electronic carrier(s))			
		, containing a sequence listing and/or tables			
related thereto, in computer Section 802 of the Administr	readable form only, as indicated in the Suppative Instructions).	plemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see			
4. This report contains indications relatin	g to the following items:				
	-				
Box No. I Basis of the 1	eport				
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establish	nment of opinion with regard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention				
Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial against and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain docum	ments cited				
Box No. VII Certain defec	ts in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
ate of submission of the demand	Date of completion of t	this report			
amount military and a contract of					
ame and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer				

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/FR2004/001900

Box No. 1	Basis of the report						
1. With re indicat	egard to the language, this report is based on the internati ted under this item.	onal application in the language in w	hich it was filed, unless otherwise				
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:						
<u> </u>	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))						
<u> </u>	publication of the international application (Rule 12.	4)					
L	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and						
2. With re receiving this rep	egard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application, this ng Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 a nort):	s report is based on (replacement sh re referred to in this report as "ori;	eets which have been furnished to the ginally filed" and are not annexed to				
լ	ne international application as originally filed/furnished						
LA th	ne description:						
pa	ages 1-12		as originally filed/furnished				
pa	nges*	received by this Authority on					
pa	ages*	received by this Authority on					
⊠ th	e claims:						
no	os. <u>1–14</u>		as originally filed/furnished				
no	os.*	as amended (together w	rith any statement) under Article 19				
no	os.*	received by this Authority on					
no	95.*	received by this Authority on					
⊠ the	e drawings:						
she	eets <u>1/4-4/4</u>		as originally filed/furnished				
she	eets*	received by this Authority on					
she	cets*	received by this Authority on					
☐ as	equence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Suppleme		<del></del>				
<u>"''</u>	1						
	the description, pages						
	the claims, nos.						
	the drawings, sheets/figs						
	the sequence listing (specify):						
, <u>_</u>	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
f. Thi	is report has been established as if (some of) the amendm y have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file	nents annexed to this report and list d, as indicated in the Supplemental l	ed below had not been made, since Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
	the description, pages						
	the claims, nos.						
	the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify):						
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
If item 4 a	If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."						

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001900

Вох			rticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	7, 10	YES
		Claims	1-6, 8, 9, 11-14	NO NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-14	NO
	Industrial applicabilit	y (IA) Claims	1-14	YES
		Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

#### 1. Documents

1.1 In the present report, reference is made to the following documents cited in the search report:

**D1:** EP-A-0 762703 (TELEDIFFUSION FSE ;FRANCE TELECOM (FR)) 12 March 1997 (1997-03-12);

**D2:** EP-A-1 320 232 (TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INC) 18 June 2003 (2003-06-18).

- Novelty and inventive step PCT Article 33(2) and 33(3)
- 2.1 The present application does not fulfil the requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1 and 14 does not comply with the requirement of novelty defined in PCT Article 33(2).

In accordance with the terminology in **claim 1** of the present application, **document D1** describes

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001900

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

(the references between parentheses apply to said document):

a method for estimating a propagation channel consisting of a series of multicarrier signal symbols (the abstract, lines 1-9) each of which includes at least one reference pilot (page 5, lines 16-19), and a plurality of data-carrying frequencies (page 5, lines 20-23), said method being characterised in that it includes at least one step of correcting said reference pilot(s) (page 8, lines 22-24; figure 8, unit 311) on the basis of a first estimation of a propagation channel (page 8, lines 20-21; figure 3, units 315 and 316) and in such a way that a second, more accurate, estimation of said channel is supplied (figure 3, signal 312).

It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

- 2.2 The same line of reasoning applies mutatis mutandis to the subject matter of claim 14.
- 2.3 The subject matter of claims 1 and 14 is also described in document D2, paragraphs 35-37 and figure 3.
- 2.4 Dependent claims 2-13 do not contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of claim 1, are novel or involve an

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001900

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

inventive step.

The subject matter of **claim 2** is described in **document D1**, page 8, lines 20-21.

The subject matter of **claims 3-5** is described in **document D1**, page 5, lines 41-50.

The subject matter of **claim 6** is very vague and is, therefore, described in **document D1**, page 5, lines 41-50.

The subject matter of **claim 7** is not inventive because it is part of the routine practice of rejecting low-quality measurements during error evaluation.

The subject matter of **claims 8-9** is described in **document D1**, page 6, lines 10-21.

The subject matter of **claim 10** is not inventive because using the pulse response peak to achieve time synchronisation is a technical step well known to a person skilled in the art.

The subject matter of **claim 11** is described in **document D1**, page 6, lines 10-21.

The subject matter of **claim 12** is described in **document D1**, figure 3, units 316 and 311.

The subject matter of claim 13 is described in

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001900

document D1, page 2, lines 7-12 and page 6, lines 10-21.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					